

MARIE-LOUISE KEHOE, CHAIRMAN
CARMEN E. DELLOIACONO, VICE-CHAIRMAN
JAMES A. MACDONALD
THOMAS R. POLITO, JR.
DENNIS J. TEEHAN

WILLIAM G. KEEGAN, JR.
TOWN ADMINISTRATOR

NANCY A. BAKER
ASST. TOWN ADMINISTRATOR



WEBSITE
www.dedham-ma.gov

TOWN OF DEDHAM BOARD OF SELECTMEN

June 20, 2006

Andrea Nixon
Clerk, Cable Television Division
Department of Telecommunications and Energy
One South Station
Boston, MA 02111

Dear Ms. Nixon:

The Town of Dedham would like to register its strong opposition to Verizon's March 16, 2006, rulemaking petition filed with the Cable Division of Department of Telecommunications and Energy. Verizon's petition proposes extremely unreasonable new rules for initial cable licensing.

The proposed rules would require a municipality to hold a public hearing on an initial cable television license application within 60 days of the application filing, and would require only 30 days from the time of the public hearing for the municipality to approve or disapprove the application, and issue the actual license in case of approval.

As most local officials will tell you, it is impossible to conclude a proper initial license application review, negotiation, license drafting and issuance within 30 days of the public hearing. Such an initial licensing time frame would be untenable in the best of circumstances, and is particularly untenable now in light of the many questions of first impressions and complex issues raised by the non-standard terms and conditions commonly reported to be included in Verizon-proposed cable licenses.

As you know from RCN's initial licensing experience, cable operators willing to negotiate customary and standard cable licenses enjoy reasonable and fast municipal licensing. The existing license timetables have worked well for decades. They should not be changed at the behest of a single proponent. Note that Congress contemplated and provided for a three-year renewal process when it more comprehensively and carefully set forth cable licensing rules in the 1984 Cable Act. This framework worked well for decades and there is no rational basis for casting aside the time tested licensing rules and replacing them with radically abbreviated rules.


June 20, 2006

Over the years, the Dedham Cable Advisory Committee has been diligent in its efforts to work with the licensee to fashion an equitable document taking into consideration the cable related needs of our local subscribers. Cable companies are entitled to recover their costs, and beyond that, they are in business to show a profit. However, it has been obvious to us that their bottom line takes priority over customer service. The time line as suggested in the proposed legislation would be most harmful to the process of negotiations, and, in effect, would be removing the opportunity for meaningful negotiations. Why should local government be restrained when we are giving their cable companies a privilege?

Municipal officials who are responsible for implementing licensing and who are accountable to the public are in opposition to these proposed rules. Municipal officials are concerned that under the proposed rules, our community and cities and towns across the state will be boxed into an untenable 30 day post-hearing licensing process, and will lose the ability to properly review and negotiate Verizon cable proposals. This is not even close to what is reasonably needed for a fair and reasonable licensing process. The Massachusetts Cable Division should reject the Verizon petition and allow local officials to continue serving their constituents as they have been doing for decades.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and I look forward to hearing from you relative to this critical issue.

Sincerely,



William G. Keegan, Jr.
Town Administrator
Town of Dedham

Cc: Board of Selectmen
Cable Advisory Committee